

## A NOTE ON WEAKLY $\varrho$ -UPPER CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

KATARZYNA NOWAKOWSKA, MAŁGORZATA TUROWSKA

### ABSTRACT

In the article we present definition and some properties of weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions. We find maximal additive and maximal multiplicative families for the class of weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

In the article we apply standard symbols and notations. By  $\mathbb{R}$  we denote the set of all real numbers, by  $\mathbb{N}$  we denote the set of all positive integers. By  $\mathcal{L}$  we denote the family of Lebesgue measurable subsets of the real line. The symbol  $\lambda(\cdot)$  stands for the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In the whole article,  $I$  will denote an open interval (not necessarily bounded) with ends  $a, b$  and  $f$  – a real function defined in  $I$ . By  $\mathcal{A}$  we denote the class of all approximately continuous functions defined in  $I$ .

Let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x$  be a real number. According to [1], the numbers

$$\bar{d}^+(E, x) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda(E \cap [x, x + t])}{t}$$

and

$$\bar{d}^-(E, x) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda(E \cap [x - t, x])}{t}$$

are called the right upper density of  $E$  at  $x$  and left upper density of  $E$  at  $x$ , respectively. The number

$$\bar{d}(E, x) = \max \left\{ \bar{d}^+(E, x), \bar{d}^-(E, x) \right\}$$

is called the upper density of  $E$  at  $x$ .

- 
- *Katarzyna Nowakowska* — e-mail: nowakowska\_k@go2.pl  
 Pomeranian University in Słupsk.
  - *Małgorzata Turowska* — e-mail: malgorzata.turowska@apsl.edu.pl  
 Pomeranian University in Słupsk.

Recall the definition of  $\varrho$ -upper continuous function.

**Definition 1.** [2] Let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < \varrho < 1$ , then we shall say that  $x$  is a point of  $\varrho$ -type upper density of  $E$  if  $\overline{d}(E, x) > \varrho$ .

**Definition 2.** [2] Let  $x \in I$ . A real-valued function  $f$  defined on  $I$  is called  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$  provided that there is a measurable set  $E \subset I$  such that  $x$  is a point of  $\varrho$ -type upper density of  $E$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $f|_E$  is continuous at  $x$ . If  $f$  is  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at each point of  $I$ , we say that  $f$  is  $\varrho$ -upper continuous.

By  $\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$  we denote the class of all  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions defined in an open interval  $I$ .

## 2. WEAKLY $\varrho$ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Now, we shall give the basic definitions of this paper.

**Definition 3.** Let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ , then we say that  $x$  is a point of weak  $\varrho$ -type upper density of  $E$  if  $\overline{d}(E, x) \geq \varrho$ .

**Definition 4.** A real-valued function  $f$  defined in  $I$  is called weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x \in I$  provided that there is a measurable set  $E \subset I$  such that  $x$  is a point of weak  $\varrho$ -type upper density of  $E$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $f|_E$  is continuous at  $x$ . If  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at each point of  $I$ , we say that  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous.

By  $\mathcal{wUC}_\varrho$  we denote the class of all weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions defined on an open interval  $I$ .

In an obvious way we define one-sided weak  $\varrho$ -upper continuity at a point  $x$  and  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$  if and only if it is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$  on the right or on the left.

**Corollary 1.** If  $0 < \varrho_1 < \varrho_2 < 1$ ,  $x_0 \in I$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is weakly  $\varrho_2$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ , then  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho_1$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ .

**Corollary 2.** If  $0 < \varrho < 1$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at some point  $x_0$  from  $I$ , then  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ . We shall show that there exists  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{wUC}_\varrho \setminus \mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ .

Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$  and  $x_{n+1} < x_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . For each  $n \geq 1$  take any  $y_n \in (x_{n+1}, x_n)$  such

that  $x_n - y_n = \varrho(x_n - x_{n+1})$ . Define a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  letting

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, 0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_n\} \cup (x_1, \infty), \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [y_n, x_n], \\ \text{linear on each interval } [x_{n+1}, y_n], n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $f$  is  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at every point except at 0. Take any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\{x \in [x_{n+1}, y_n]: |f(x) - 1| < \varepsilon\}) &= \varepsilon \lambda([x_{n+1}, y_n]) = \\ &= \varepsilon(1 - \varrho) \lambda([x_{n+1}, x_n]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\{x \in [0, x_n]: |f(x) - 1| < \varepsilon\}) &= \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (\varrho \lambda([x_{k+1}, x_k]) + \varepsilon(1 - \varrho) \lambda([x_{k+1}, x_k])) = \\ &= (\varrho + \varepsilon(1 - \varrho)) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda([x_{k+1}, x_k]) = (\varrho + \varepsilon(1 - \varrho)) \lambda([0, x_n]). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\{x: |f(x) - 1| < \varepsilon\}, 0) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(\{x \in [0, x_n]: |f(x) - 1| < \varepsilon\})}{\lambda([0, x_n])} = \\ &= \varrho + \varepsilon(1 - \varrho). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{d}(\{x: |f(x) - 1| < \varepsilon\}, 0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varrho + \varepsilon(1 - \varrho)) = \varrho$ , we conclude that  $f$  is not  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at 0 and  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at 0. Hence  $f \in \mathcal{wUC}_{\varrho} \setminus \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho}$ .

**Corollary 3.** *If  $0 < \varrho_1 < \varrho_2 < 1$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is weakly  $\varrho_2$ -upper continuous at some point  $x_0$  from  $I$ , then  $f$  is  $\varrho_1$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ .*

**Example 2.** *We shall show that if  $0 < \varrho_1 < \varrho_2 < 1$ , then there is a function  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho_1} \setminus \mathcal{wUC}_{\varrho_2}$ .*

Let  $a < 0 < b$ . We can find a sequence  $([a_n, b_n])_{n \geq 1}$  of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $0 < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$  for each  $n$  and

$$\bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], 0\right) = \frac{\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{2}.$$

Let  $([c_n, d_n])_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $[a_n, b_n] \subset (c_n, d_n)$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $\bar{d}^+ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([c_n, d_n] \setminus [a_n, b_n]), 0 \right) = 0$ . Put  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $J_n = [c_n, d_n]$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Define a function  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  letting

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (a, 0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [d_{n+1}, c_n] \cup [d_1, b), \\ \text{linear on each interval } [c_n, a_n], [b_n, d_n], n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The function  $f$  is continuous at every point except at 0. If  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \cup \{0\}$ , then the function  $f$  restricted to  $E$  is constant, so in particular, it is continuous at zero. Moreover,

$$\bar{d}(E, 0) \geq \bar{d}^+(E, 0) = \bar{d}^+ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, 0 \right) = \frac{\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{2} > \varrho_1.$$

Hence  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho_1}$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}^+ (\{x: f(x) < 1\}, 0) &\leq \bar{d}^+ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n, 0 \right) \leq \\ &\leq \bar{d}^+ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, 0 \right) + \bar{d}^+ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (J_n \setminus I_n), 0 \right) = \frac{\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{2} < \varrho_2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $\bar{d}^- (\{x: f(x) < 1\}, 0) = 0$ . Thus  $\bar{d} (\{x: f(x) < 1\}, 0) < \varrho_2$  and  $f$  is not weakly  $\varrho_2$ -upper continuous at 0. Hence  $f \notin \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho_2}$ .

**Corollary 4.**  $\bigcup_{\varrho \in (0,1)} \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho} = \bigcup_{\varrho \in (0,1)} \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}.$

**Corollary 5.**  $\bigcap_{\varrho \in (0,1)} \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho} = \bigcap_{\varrho \in (0,1)} \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}.$

**Definition 5.** We say that a real-valued function  $f$  defined on an open interval  $I$  has Denjoy property at  $x_0 \in I$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  the set

$$\{x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta): |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}$$

contains a measurable subset of positive measure. We say that  $f$  has Denjoy property if it has Denjoy property at each point  $x \in I$ .

Immediately from Theorem 2.1 in [2], Remark 2.1 in [2] and Corollary 2 we obtain the following results.

**Corollary 6.** *If  $0 < \varrho < 1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{u}\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ , then  $f$  is measurable.*

**Corollary 7.** *If  $0 < \varrho < 1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{u}\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ , then  $f$  has Denjoy property.*

The proof of the next corollary follows directly from Theorem 2.4 in [4].

**Corollary 8.** *There exists function  $f$  such that  $f \in \bigcap_{\varrho \in (0,1)} \mathcal{u}\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$  and  $f$  does not belong to the Baire class 1.*

We shall need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** [3] *If  $0 < \varrho \leq 1$  and  $\{E_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a descending family of measurable sets such that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  and  $\bar{d}(E_n, x) \geq \varrho$  for  $n \geq 1$ , then there exists a measurable set  $E$  such that  $\bar{d}(E, x) \geq \varrho$ ,  $x \in E$ , and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\delta_n > 0$  for which  $E \cap [x - \delta_n, x + \delta_n] \subset E_n$ .*

We shall give an equivalent condition of weak  $\varrho$ -upper continuity at a point.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $0 < \varrho < 1$  and  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function, then  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x \in I$  if and only if*

$$\bar{d}(\{y \in I: |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon\}, x) \geq \varrho \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$ . Let  $E \subset I$  be a measurable set such that  $x \in E$ ,  $f|_E$  is continuous at  $x$  and  $\bar{d}(E, x) \geq \varrho$ . Since  $f|_E$  is continuous at  $x$ , for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $[x - \delta, x + \delta] \cap E \subset \{y \in E: |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon\}$ . Hence for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\{y \in I: |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon\}, x) &\geq \bar{d}(\{y \in E: |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon\}, x) = \\ &= \bar{d}(E, x) \geq \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, assume that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\bar{d}(\{y \in I: |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon\}, x) \geq \varrho.$$

By Lemma 1 for sets  $E_n = \{y \in I: |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{1}{n}\}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can construct a measurable set  $E$  such that  $x \in E$ ,  $\bar{d}(E, x) \geq \varrho$  and for each  $n$  there exists  $\delta_n > 0$  for which  $E \cap [x - \delta_n, x + \delta_n] \subset E_n$ . The last condition implies that  $f|_E$  is continuous at  $x$ . It follows that  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$ , what was to be shown.  $\square$

Now we will show that the family of weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions is closed under uniform limits, i.e. every limit of uniformly convergent sequence of functions from  $\mathcal{u}\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$  belongs to this family.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $0 < \varrho < 1$  and a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions is uniformly convergent to a function  $f$ , then  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions uniformly converges to  $f$ . Let  $x_0 \in I$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that for every  $k > n_0$  and every  $x \in I$  the inequality

$$|f_k(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

holds. Fix  $n > n_0$ . Since  $f_n$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ , there exists a measurable set  $E \subset I$  such that  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $f_n|_E$  is continuous at  $x_0$  and  $\bar{d}(E, x_0) \geq \varrho$ . Then there exists a positive  $\delta$  such that

$$[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \cap E \subset \left\{ x \in E : |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\}.$$

Notice that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$$

if  $x \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \cap E$ . Therefore

$$\left\{ x \in E : |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\} \subset \{x : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\{x : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) &\geq \\ &\geq \bar{d}\left(\left\{x \in E : |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\}, x_0\right) = \bar{d}(E, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\bar{d}(\{x : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \bar{d}(E, x_0) \geq \varrho.$$

It means that the function  $f$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ . □

**Example 3.** *We shall show that the family of  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions is not closed under the operation of uniform convergence.*

Define the function  $f$  in the same way as in Example 1. Let  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n = \min\{1 - \frac{1}{n}, f\}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Then, clearly, the sequence  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  uniformly converges to  $f$  and  $f \notin \mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ . Since

$$\{x : f_n(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{n} = f(0)\} = \{x : |f(x) - 1| < \frac{1}{n}\}$$

and  $\bar{d}(\{x : |f(x) - 1| < \frac{1}{n}\}, 0) = \varrho + \frac{1}{n}(1 - \varrho) > \varrho$ , we infer that  $f_n \in \mathcal{UC}_\varrho$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .

## 3. MAXIMAL ADDITIVE FAMILY

**Definition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any family of real valued functions defined on  $I$ . The set  $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{F}) = \{g: \forall f \in \mathcal{F} \ f + g \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is called a maximal additive family for  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 1.** If a zero (constant) function is a member of a family of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 2.** [3] Let numbers  $c$  and  $\gamma$  fulfil the inequality  $0 < c < \gamma < 1$ . Moreover, let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the property  $\bar{d}^+(E, x) = c$  for some point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a measurable set  $H$  such that  $E \subset H$ ,  $\bar{d}^+(H, x) \geq \gamma$  and  $\bar{d}^+(H \setminus E, x) \leq \gamma - c(1 - \gamma)$ .

The proof of next theorem is based on the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [3], where the maximal additive class for  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions is discussed.

**Theorem 3.** If  $0 < \varrho < 1$ , then for each  $f \in \mathcal{WC}_\varrho \setminus \mathcal{A}$  there exists  $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g \in \mathcal{WC}_\varrho$  and  $f + g \notin \mathcal{WC}_\varrho$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f \notin \mathcal{A}$ , there exist  $x_0 \in I$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\bar{d}^+(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0$$

or

$$\bar{d}^-(\{x \in I: |f(x_0) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that the first inequality holds.

Put  $E = \{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}$  and  $c = \bar{d}^+(E, x_0)$ . Therefore  $c > 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a real number satisfying conditions  $\gamma \geq \varrho$ ,  $c < \gamma < 1$  and  $\gamma - c(1 - \gamma) < \varrho$ . By Lemma 2, there exists a measurable set  $H$  such that  $E \subset H$ ,  $\bar{d}^+(H, x_0) \geq \gamma$  and  $\bar{d}^+(H \setminus E, x_0) \leq \gamma - c(1 - \gamma)$ . Next one can find a sequence  $([a_n, b_n])_{n \geq 1}$  of closed intervals such that  $x_0 < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$  for each  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \setminus H, x_0\right) = \bar{d}^+\left(H \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], x_0\right) = 0.$$

Thus  $\bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], x_0\right) = \bar{d}^+(H, x_0) \geq \gamma \geq \varrho$ .

Let  $([c_n, d_n])_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $[a_n, b_n] \subset (c_n, d_n)$  for all  $n$  and  $\bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([c_n, d_n] \setminus [a_n, b_n]), x_0\right) = 0$ . Put  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$  and  $K_n = [c_n, d_n]$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Define a function  $g: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  letting

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \{x_0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, \\ -f(x) + f(x_0) + \varepsilon & \text{if } x \in (a, x_0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [d_{n+1}, c_n] \cup [d_1, b), \\ \text{linear on each interval } [c_n, a_n], [b_n, d_n], n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{u}\mathcal{MC}_{\varrho}$ ,  $g$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at each point except at  $x_0$ . Applying inequality

$$\bar{d}(\{x: g(x) = g(x_0) = 0\}, x_0) \geq \bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) \geq \varrho,$$

we conclude that  $g$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ , too. It means that  $g \in \mathcal{u}\mathcal{MC}_{\varrho}$ .

Now, we shall show that  $f + g$  is not weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ . Put

$$F = \{x \in I: |(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}.$$

For  $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \cup \{x_0\}$ , we have  $(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(x_0) = \varepsilon$ . Therefore

$F \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \cup \{x_0\}$ . If  $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , then  $(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(x_0) = f(x) - f(x_0)$

and consequently  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \cap F \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \setminus E$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(F, x_0) &= \bar{d}^+(F, x_0) \leq \bar{d}^+\left(F \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) + \bar{d}^+\left(F \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) \leq \\ &\leq \bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \setminus E, x_0\right) + \bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (K_n \setminus I_n), x_0\right) = \\ &= \bar{d}^+(H \setminus E, x_0) \leq \gamma - c(1 - \gamma) < \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f + g$  is not weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ . Hence  $f + g \notin \mathcal{u}\mathcal{MC}_{\varrho}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

The proof of the following lemma is identical to the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [3] and we omit it.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at some point  $x \in I$ , where  $0 < \varrho < 1$ . If at least one of those functions is approximately continuous at  $x$ , then  $f + g$  and  $f \cdot g$  are weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$ .*



**Corollary 9.** *Let  $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at some point  $x \in I$ , where  $0 < \varrho < 1$ . If  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is approximately continuous at  $x$ , then  $f + g$  and  $f \cdot g$  are weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$ .*

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous in  $I$ , where  $0 < \varrho < 1$ . If  $D_{ap}(f) \cap D_{ap}(g) = \emptyset$ , where  $D_{ap}(f)$  denotes the set of all points at which  $f$  is not approximately continuous, then  $f + g$  and  $f \cdot g$  are weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous in  $I$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *If  $0 < \varrho < 1$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{WUC}_\varrho) = \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3, we have  $\mathcal{WUC}_\varrho \cap \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{WUC}_\varrho) \subset \mathcal{A}$ . By Remark 1, we have the inclusion  $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{WUC}_\varrho) \subset \mathcal{WUC}_\varrho$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{WUC}_\varrho) \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Finally, by Lemma 9, we have  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{WUC}_\varrho)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MAXIMAL MULTIPLICATIVE FAMILY

**Definition 7.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is any family of real valued functions defined on an open interval  $I$ , then the set  $\{g: \forall f \in \mathcal{F} \ f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is called a maximal multiplicative family for  $\mathcal{F}$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{F})$ .*

**Remark 2.** *If a constant function equalled to 1 is a member of a family of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *If  $0 < \varrho < 1$  and a measurable function  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not approximately continuous at some point  $x_0$  from  $I$  for which  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g \in \mathcal{WUC}_\varrho$  and  $f \cdot g \notin \mathcal{WUC}_\varrho$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $f$  is not approximately continuous from right side at  $x_0$ . Then we can find a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon < |f(x_0)|$  and

$$\bar{d}^+(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}, x_0) = c > 0.$$

Put  $E = \{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ . Take  $\gamma$  such that

$$\varrho \leq \gamma < 1, \quad c < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma - c(1 - \gamma) < \varrho.$$

By Lemma 2, there exists a measurable set  $H$  such that

$$E \subset H, \quad \bar{d}^+(H, x_0) \geq \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}^+(H \setminus E, x_0) \leq \gamma - c(1 - \gamma).$$

Similarly as in proof of Lemma 3.1 in [3] we can find a sequence  $([a_n, b_n])_{n \geq 1}$  of closed intervals such that  $x_0 < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$  for each  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \setminus H, x_0\right) = \bar{d}^+\left(H \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], x_0\right) = 0.$$

Then  $\bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], x_0\right) = \bar{d}^+(H, x_0) \geq \gamma \geq \varrho$ .

Let  $([c_n, d_n])_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that  $[a_n, b_n] \subset (c_n, d_n)$  for all  $n$  and  $\bar{d}^+ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([c_n, d_n] \setminus [a_n, b_n]), x_0 \right) = 0$ . Denote now  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $K_n = [c_n, d_n]$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Define a function  $g: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \{x_0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \cup [b_1, b), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in (a, x_0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [d_{n+1}, c_n], \\ \text{linear on each interval } [c_n, a_n], [b_{n+1}, d_{n+1}], n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g$  is continuous except at  $x_0$ . Moreover,

$$\bar{d}(\{x \in I: g(x) = 1\}, x_0) \geq \bar{d}^+ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0 \right) = \bar{d}^+(H, x_0) \geq \gamma \geq \varrho$$

and  $g$  restricted to  $\{x \in I: g(x) = 1\}$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . It follows that  $g$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ . Therefore  $g \in \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}$ .

Moreover,  $(f \cdot g)(x_0) = f(x_0)$  and

$$\{x: |(f \cdot g)(x) - (f \cdot g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\} \cap \left( (a, x_0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [d_{n+1}, c_n] \right) = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\{x \in I: |(f \cdot g)(x) - (f \cdot g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) &\leq \\ &\leq \bar{d}^+ \left( \left\{ x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n: |(f \cdot g)(x) - (f \cdot g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon \right\}, x_0 \right) = \\ &= \bar{d}^+ \left( \left\{ x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon \right\}, x_0 \right) = \\ &= \bar{d}^+(\{x \in H: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) = \\ &= \bar{d}^+(\{x \in H \setminus E: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \leq \gamma - c(1 - \gamma) < \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that  $f \cdot g$  is not weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$  i.e.  $fg \notin \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 8.** If  $0 < \varrho < 1$ , then by  $\mathcal{W}(\varrho)$  we shall denote the family of all measurable functions  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that at each  $x_0 \in D_{ap}(f)$  the following two conditions hold

(W1)  $f(x_0) = 0$  (in other words  $D_{ap}(f) \subset N_f$ , where  $N_f = \{x: f(x) = 0\}$ );

(W2) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each measurable set  $F$  such that  $F \supset N_f$  and  $\bar{d}(F, x_0) \geq \varrho$  we have

$$\bar{d}(F \cap \{x \in I : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \varrho.$$

**Theorem 5.**  $\mathcal{M}_m(u\mathcal{UC}_\varrho) = \mathcal{W}(\varrho)$  for each  $\varrho$  such that  $0 < \varrho < 1$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\varrho$  from the interval  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{W}(\varrho)$  and  $g \in u\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ . Take any  $x_0 \in I$ . Then we can find a measurable set  $E$  such that  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $\bar{d}(E, x_0) \geq \varrho$  and  $g|_E$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

First, we assume that  $f$  is approximately continuous at  $x_0$ . Then, by Lemma 9,  $f \cdot g$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ .

Now, we assume that  $x_0 \in D_{ap}(f)$ . By condition (W1), we obtain  $f(x_0) = 0$ . Since  $g|_E$  is continuous at  $x_0$ , there exist positive numbers  $r$  and  $M$  such that  $|g(x)| < M$  for  $x \in E \cap [x_0 - r, x_0 + r]$ . Put  $F = E \cup N_f$ . Then  $N_f \subset F$  and  $\bar{d}(F, x_0) \geq \varrho$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in I : |(f \cdot g)(x)| < \varepsilon\} \cap [x_0 - r, x_0 + r] &\supset \\ &\supset F \cap \{x \in I : |f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\} \cap [x_0 - r, x_0 + r]. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (W2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\{x : |(f \cdot g)(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) &\geq \bar{d}(\{x : |f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\} \cap F, x_0) = \\ &= \bar{d}(\{x : |f(x)| < \varepsilon'\} \cap F, x_0) \geq \varrho, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$ . By Theorem 1,  $f \cdot g$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ . Hence  $f \cdot g \in u\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ . In this way, we have proven that  $\mathcal{W}(\varrho) \subset \mathcal{M}_m(u\mathcal{UC}_\varrho)$ .

Finally, assume that  $f \in \mathcal{M}_m(u\mathcal{UC}_\varrho)$ . If  $x_0 \in D_{ap}(f)$ , then, by Lemma 4, we obtain  $f(x_0) = 0$ . Therefore  $f$  satisfies the condition (W1). Take any measurable set  $F$  such that  $N_f \subset F$  and  $\bar{d}(F, x) \geq \varrho$ . Identically as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [3] we can find sequences  $([a_n, b_n])_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $([c_n, d_n])_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $([a'_n, b'_n])_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $([c'_n, d'_n])_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $(\alpha'_n)_{n \geq 1}$  that satisfy conditions listed in that proof.

Define a function  $g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a'_n, b'_n] \cup (a, a'_1] \cup [b_1, b) \cup \{x_0\}, \\ \alpha_n, & \text{if } x \in [d_{n+1}, c_n], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \alpha'_n, & \text{if } x \in [d'_n, c'_{n+1}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \text{linear on each } [c_n, a_n], [b_{n+1}, d_{n+1}], [c'_{n+1}, a'_{n+1}], [b'_n, d'_n], & n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows directly from the definition of  $g$ , that  $g$  is continuous at each point except at  $x_0$ . Since

$$\bar{d}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a'_n, b'_n], x_0\right) = \bar{d}(F, x_0)$$

and  $g$  restricted to the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a'_n, b'_n] \cup \{x_0\}$  is constant,  $g$  is weakly  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ . Thus  $g \in \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}$ . Hence  $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}$ . Moreover,  $(f \cdot g)(x_0) = 0$ . Put

$$E_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in I: |(f \cdot g)(x) - (f \cdot g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\} = \{x \in I: |(f \cdot g)(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Since  $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}$ ,  $\bar{d}(E_{\varepsilon}, x_0) \geq \varrho$ . On the other hand, in the same way as in mentioned proof, we obtain

$$\bar{d}^+(E_{\varepsilon}, x_0) \leq \bar{d}^+(\{x \in F: |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0),$$

$$\bar{d}^-(E_{\varepsilon}, x_0) \leq \bar{d}^-(\{x \in F: |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0)$$

if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Thus  $\bar{d}(\{x \in F: |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \bar{d}(E_{\varepsilon}, x_0) \geq \varrho$ . It follows that the condition (W2) is satisfied and  $f \in \mathcal{W}(\varrho)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 11.** *If a measurable function  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1)  $x_0 \in D_{ap}(f)$ ,
- (2)  $\bar{d}(N_f, x_0) \geq \varrho$ ,
- (3)  $f(x_0) = 0$

for some  $x_0 \in I$  and  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{W}(\varrho)$ .

**Corollary 12.**  $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho}) = \mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{uMC}_{\varrho})$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of Real Functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **659**, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1978.
- [2] S. Kowalczyk, K. Nowakowska, *A note on  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **44** (2009), 153-158.
- [3] S. Kowalczyk, K. Nowakowska, *Maximal classes for  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **36** (2010/2011), 307-324.
- [4] K. Nowakowska, *Algebraic properties of  $\varrho$ -upper continuous and  $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous functions*, Ph.D. thesis (in Polish), Technical University in Łódź, 2012.

Received: September 2014

Katarzyna Nowakowska

POMERANIAN UNIVERSITY IN SŁUPSK,

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,

UL. ARCISZEWSKIEGO 22D, 76-200 SŁUPSK, POLAND

E-mail address: nowakowska\_k@go2.pl

Małgorzata Turowska

POMERANIAN UNIVERSITY IN SŁUPSK,

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,

UL. ARCISZEWSKIEGO 22D, 76-200 SŁUPSK, POLAND

E-mail address: malgorzata.turowska@apsl.edu.pl